



PAGE 01/06

APR 2 9 2004

OFFICIAL

Approved for use through 08/30/2003, OMB 0651-0031
U.O. Palent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no nerson	s are required to respond to a collection Application Number	n of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.				
		10/042,132				
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	January 11, 2002				
FORM	First Named Inventor	Kurt Alan RUBIN				
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Art Unit	2651				
	Examiner Namo	N. Figueroa				
6	Attorney Docket Number	ARC919990198US1				
Total Number of Pages in This Submission						
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
Fee Attached Amendment/Repty	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addre Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)	After Allowance communication to Technology Certer (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Raply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						

Firm Joseph P. Curtin, Registration No. 34,571 Individual name						
Signature						
Date April 29, 2004						
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Facsimile Transmitted 6 pages to 703-872-9306						
Typed or printed name Joseph P. Curtin, Registration No. 34,571						
Signaturo		Date April 29, 2004				
The state of the s	Information to manifed to obtain or enter	on a harefit by the nublic which is to file (and by the USPTO to				

This collection of information/is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case, Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form suddo suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9188 and select upilon 2.



PAGE 02/05

APR 2 9 2004

OFFICIAL

5-5-0c/

Certificate Of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted (6 pages) to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at telephone number (703) 872-9306 on April 29, 2004.

Respectfully submitted.

Joseph P. Curtin, Reg. No. 34,571

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of

	Kurt Alan RUBIN)		
	1.0 .	ý	Art Unit:	2651
Serial No.:	10/042,132)	Examiner:	N. Figueroa
Filed: January 11, 2002	January 11, 2002	ζ	Example:	11, 11,640.01
	January 11, 2002	う	Atty Dkt:	ARC919990196US1
For:	PATTERNED MEDIA HAVING)		
	OFFSET TRACKS)		

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

MAIL STOP NON-FEE AMENDMENT

Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action (Paper No. 4) mailed March 31, 2004, please reconsider the above-captioned application in view of the following remarks.

(Serial No. 10/042,132)

The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Over Rubin In View of Clifford, Jr.

Claims 1-6, 10, 14, 15, 17, 23, 24 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Rubin et al. (Rubin), U.S. Patent No. 6,421,195, in view of Clifford, Jr., U.S. Patent No. 5,276,566.

Applicant respectfully submits that the present invention according to any of claims 1-6, 10, 14, 15, 17, 23, 24 and 26 is patentable over Rubin in view of Clifford, Jr. Applicant respectfully submits that the applied patents are not properly combinable to form a basis for rejection of these claims.

Contrary to the Examiner's statement, there simply is no suggestion in either Rubin or Clifford, Jr. "to modify the apparatus disclosed by Rubin et al. with the ... teachings from Clifford, Jr. to include a head that can allow to read two adjacent tracks" with the "motivation being to avoid errors in the disk hence making sure the head is correctly centered over a track." In this regard, Applicant respectfully submits that Rubin discloses a magnetic disk medium having patterned linear magnetic channels in a radial direction of the disk. In contrast, Clifford, Jr. discloses a high-density helical-scan recording device in which data tracks are recorded at an angle relative to a lengthwise edge of a magnetic tape. Thus, the only thing that Rubin and Clifford, Jr. have in common is that both use a magnetic recording medium. That is, Rubin uses a magnetic recording medium disk and Clifford, Jr. uses a magnetic recording medium tape.

Consequently, Applicant respectfully submits that Rubin and Clifford, Ir. are so diverse and from such different magnetic recording technologies that one of skill in the art would simply not be motivated to modify the Rubin magnetic disk apparatus with the teachings of the Clifford,

(Serial No. 10/042,132)

Jr. helical-scan recording device at all, let alone to modify the Rubin magnetic disk apparatus to include a Clifford, Jr. helical-scan recording device head to read two adjacent tracks in order to avoid errors in the disk by making sure the head is correctly centered over a track.

Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that it is only by impermissible hindsight that the Examiner is able to reject 1-6, 10, 14, 15, 17, 23, 24 and 26 based on the proposed combination of Rubin and Clifford, Jr. Neither of the applied patents provides a proper suggestion for combination, and it is only by the Applicants' disclosure that the Examiner can select particular features of Rubin and Clifford, Jr. to make the rejection.

Consequently, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this rejection and allow claims 1-6, 10, 14, 15, 17, 23, 24 and 26.

The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Rubin And Clifford, Jr. And Further In View Of Hamann

Claims 11 and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as unpatentable over Rubin and Clifford, Jr. and further in view of Hamann et al. (Hamann), U.S. Patent No. 6,233,206.

Applicant respectfully submits that Hamann does not cure the deficiencies of Rubin and Clifford, Jr. with respect to claims 1 and 2, the base claims of both claims 11 and 12. Specifically, Hamann does not cure the deficiencies in the proffered motivation for combining Rubin and Clifford, Jr. as a basis for the rejection of claims 1 and 2.

Consequently, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this rejection and allow claims 11 and 12.

The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Rubin And Clifford, Jr. And Further In View Of Landquist

Claim 16 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as unpatentable over Rubin and Clifford, Jr. and further in view of Lundquist, U.S. Patent No. 4,623,867.

Applicant respectfully submits that Lundquist does not cure the deficiencies of Rubin and Clifford, Jr. with respect to claims 1 and 2, the base claims of claim 16. Specifically, Lundquist does not cure the deficiencies in the proffered motivation for combining Rubin and Clifford, Jr. as a basis for the rejection of claims 1 and 2.

Consequently, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this rejection and allow claim 16.

The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Ruhin And Clifford, Jr. And Further In View Of Mallary

Claims 18, 19 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as unpatentable over Rubin and Clifford, Jr. and further in view of Mallory, U.S. Patent No. 6,430,123.

Applicant respectfully submits that Mallary does not cure the deficiencies of Rubin and Clifford, Jr. with respect to claim 1, the base claim of each of claims 18, 19 and 25. Specifically, Mallary does not cure the deficiencies in the proffered motivation for combining Rubin and Clifford, Jr. as a basis for the rejection of claim 1.

Consequently, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this rejection and allow claims 18, 19 and 25.

(Serial No. 10/042,132)

CONCLUSION

In view of the above arguments, it is urged that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner find that a telephonic or personal interview would expedite passage to issue of the present application, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

A general authorization under 37 C.F.R. § 1.25(h), second sentence, is hereby given to credit or debit Deposit Account No. 09-0441 for the instant filing and for any other fees during the pendency of this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17 and 1.18.

It is requested that this application be passed to issue with claims 1-26.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 29, 2004

Registration No. 34,571

1469 N.W. Morgan Lane

Portland, Oregon 97229-5291

(503) 296-8373

(503) 297-0452 (facsimile)